

Appl. No.: 10/021,366
Reply to Office Action of: 8/14/03

Remarks

The Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement identifying the following groups of claims as being drawn to potentially distinct inventions:

Group I. Claims 1-13, 16-23, 26-60 and 63-68, drawn to a method of treating a soot body, classified in class 65, subclass 427;

Group II. Claims 14-15, drawn to a photomask; and

Group III. Claims 24-25 and 61-62, drawn to an optical fiber, classified in class 385, subclass 123.

The Examiner asserted that these inventions may be regarded as independent and distinct from one another because the product can be made by a materially different process, such as a sol gel method. The Examiner also asserted that inventions II and III are unrelated: one is used for communication while one is used for semiconductor processing.

In the Office Action the Examiner further issued a Restriction Requirement directed to species within the identified groups. Specifically, the Examiner identified the following species:

Specie A1: wherein the method is for making optical fiber

A2: wherein the method is for making a photomask

Specie B1: wherein the heat treatment is less than about 1000°C

B2: wherein the heat treatment is greater than about 1000°C

Specie C1: wherein the process uses chlorine atoms/molecules

C2: wherein the process prohibits the use of chlorine atoms/molecules

Specie D1: wherein the process uses fluorine atoms/molecules

D2: wherein the process prohibits the use of fluorine atoms/molecules

Applicants previously elected Group 1, claims 1-13, 16-23, 26-60 and 63-68, without traverse. Additionally, Applicants elected species A1, B2, C2 and D1, without traverse. Applicants previously withdraw claims 14-15, 24-25, and 61-62 without prejudice.

During a telephone interview conducted with the Examiner on Friday, October 3, 2003, the Examiner pointed out that Applicants had failed in previous Responses to identify those claims belonging to the elected Group I which read upon the elected species.

Appl. No.: 10/021,366

Reply to Office Action of: 8/14/03

Applicants hereby also withdraw claims 1-13, 21, 29-30, and 35 as not reading upon the elected species. Those claims belonging to the elected Group I which Applicants assert read upon the elected species are 16-20, 22-28, 31-34, and 36-68.


Applicants believe that no extension of time is necessary to make this Response timely. Should Applicants be in error, Applicants respectfully request the Office grant such time extension pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) as necessary to make this Response timely, and hereby authorizes the Office to charge any necessary fee or surcharge with respect to said time extension to the deposit account of the undersigned firm of attorneys, Deposit Account 03-3325.

Please direct any questions or comments to Kevin M. Able at 607-974-2637.

Respectfully submitted,

CORNING INCORPORATED

Date: 10/7/03




Kevin M. Able
Registration No. 52,401
Corning Incorporated
Patent Department
Mail Stop SP-TI-03-1
Corning, NY 14831

Certificate Of Facsimile

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent & Trademark Office addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on 10/7/03

(Date)



Kevin M. Able